arXiv:1104.5223v1 [math.RT] 27 Apr 2011

1.1 Orbits of $\mathbb{Z}_N^k$ under the action of S_k

In this Section we follow Saldarriaga [2], see also [1]. Let G be the group $\mathbb{Z}_N^k$, and for each N-tuple of nonnegative integers

$$(a_0, a_1, ..., a_{N-1}) \qquad \text{such that} \qquad a_0 + a_1 + ... + a_{N-1} = k$$

we define the subset of G

$$[(a_0, a_1, ..., a_{N-1})] = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{Z}_N^k \mid j \text{ occurs } a_j \text{ times in } x,\, 0 \le j \le N-1 \right\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Then G is a disjoint union of these subsets.

Note that the symmetric group S_k acts on G by permuting k-tuples, the **set of orbits under this action**, $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(N, k)$, consists of the subsets (1.1) defined above, and each orbit contains a unique representative in **standard form**

$$((N-1)^{a_{N-1}}, ..., 1^{a_1}, 0^{a_0}) \tag{1.2}$$

where the exponent indicates the number of repetitions of the base.

Notación 1. *Given $x \in \mathbb{Z}_N^k$, we will denote the **orbit of x** by $[x]$ and the **representative in standard form of this orbit** will be denoted by $\hat{x}$.*

For orbits $[a]$,$[b]$ and $[c]$, we define the set:

$$T([a], [b], [c]) = \{(x, y, z) \in [a] \times [b] \times [c] \mid x + y = z\}.$$

Note that $\sigma \in S_k$ acts on $(x, y, z) \in T([a], [b], [c])$ by $\sigma(x, y, z) = (\sigma x, \sigma y, \sigma z)$.

Definición 1.1. *Denote by $\mathbf{M}^{(\mathbf{k})[\mathbf{c}]}_{[\mathbf{a}],[\mathbf{b}]}$ **the number of $\mathbf{S_k}$-orbits of $\mathbf{T}([\mathbf{a}], [\mathbf{b}], [\mathbf{c}])$**.*

This suggests that we could use these numbers as the structure constants of an algebra (depending on N and k and over any field of characteristic 0) with basis $\mathcal{O}$, by defining a bilinear product as follows:

$$[a] \times [b] = \sum_{c \in \mathcal{O}} M^{(k)[c]}_{[a],[b]} [c]. \tag{1.3}$$

The following is a description of how to compute the product of two S_k-orbits of $\mathbb{Z}_N^k$.

Definición 1.2. *Let $[a]$, $[b] \in \mathcal{O}$, and assume that $[b] = \{y_1, ..., y_t\}$. For $1 \le i \le t$ set:*

$$z_i = \hat{a} + y_i. \tag{1.4}$$

*We say that the equation $z_j = \hat{a} + y_j$ in the list (1.4) is **redundant**, if for some $i < j$ and some $\sigma \in S_k$ we have*

$$\sigma\hat{a} = \hat{a},\ \sigma y_j = y_i \text{ and } \sigma z_j = z_i,$$

that is, if the triples $(\hat{a}, y_i, z_i)$ and $(\hat{a}, y_j, z_j)$ are in the same S_k-orbit of $T([a], [b], [z_i])$.

Then the product of two orbits can be computed as follows: let $[a]$, $[b] \in \mathcal{O}$ and fix a representative from the orbit $[a]$, say the representative in standard form, $\hat{a}$, and assume that $[b] = \{y_1, ..., y_t\}$. For every $y_i \in [b]$, set

$$z_i = \hat{a} + y_i, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq t.$$

Remove all redundant equations from this list, and without loss of generality, assume that after removing all redundancies, we are left with the first s equations, for some $s \leq t$. That is, the list:

$$z_i = \hat{a} + y_i, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq s,$$

has no redundancies. Then

$$[a] \times [b] = [z_1] + [z_2] + ... + [z_s].$$

Note that several z_i's could be in the same orbit, and for every $[c] \in \mathcal{O}$,

$$M^{(k)[c]}_{[a],[b]} = Card\{1 \leq i \leq s \mid z_i \in [c]\}. \tag{1.5}$$

Observación 1. *From Equation* (1.5), *we also get that* $M^{(k)[c]}_{[a],[b]}$ *can be computed by removing all redundancies from the list of equations*

$$z = \hat{a} + y,$$

where $y \in [b]$ *and* $z \in [c]$.

The following Theorem was proved in [2]

Teorema 1.3. *Let* $[a], [c] \in \mathcal{O}$ *and* $[b] = [(1^m, 0^{k-m})]$ *for some* $m \leq k$. *Suppose that* $M^{(k)[c]}_{[a],[b]} \neq 0$ *then* $M^{(k)[c]}_{[a],[b]} = 1$.

Notación 2. *If* $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k_N$, *we will denote for* $(a, 0)$ *the* $k+1-$*tuple* $(a_1, \ldots, a_k, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}_N$ *and for* $[a, 0]$ *its corresponding orbit.*

Teorema 1.4. *Let* $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^k_N$ *with* b *so that* $\hat{b} = (1^m, 0^{k-m})$ *then* $M^{(k)[c]}_{[a],[b]} \leq M^{(k+1)[c,0]}_{[a,0],[b,0]}$

Proof. It is clear that $M^{(k)[c]}_{[a],[b]}$ is a non-negative number, hence if $M^{(k)[c]}_{[a],[b]} = 0$ the result follows.

Now, assume that $M^{(k)[c]}_{[a],[b]} \neq 0$, then from Theorem 1.3 we get that $M^{(k)[c]}_{[a],[b]} = 1$. Hence from Remark 1 we get that there exists $y \in [b]$ and $z \in [c]$ so that $\hat{a} + y = z$, therefore we get that

$$(\hat{a}, 0) + (y, 0) = (z, 0). \tag{1.6}$$

It is clear that $\widehat{(a, 0)} = (\hat{(a)}, 0)$, $(\hat{(a)}, 0) \in [a, 0]$, $(y, 0) \in [y, 0]$ and $(z, 0) \in [z, 0]$. Hence, from Equation (1.6) and Remark 1 we get that $M^{(k+1)[c,0]}_{[a,0],[b,0]} \neq 0$ and then the result follows. $\square$

1.2 Particular case of the level increasing conjecture

Let $\lambda = a_1\lambda_1 + \cdots + a_{N-1}\lambda_{N-1}$ a weight for A_{N-1} of level k, hence $a_1 + \cdots + a_{N-1} \leq k$, then obviously $a_1 + \cdots + a_{N-1} \leq k+1$ and λ can also be considered as a weight of level $k+1$. Notice that the corresponding orbit $[\lambda]$ in $\mathbb{Z}_N^k$ associated to the weight λ is given by $[\lambda] = \left[\left((N-1)^{a_{N-1}}, (N-2)^{a_{N-2}}, \ldots, 1^{a_1}, 0^{k-\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} a_i}\right)\right]$ and if we see λ as a weight of level $k+1$ then its corresponding orbit is

$$\left[\left((N-1)^{a_{N-1}}, (N-2)^{a_{N-2}}, \ldots, 1^{a_1}, 0^{k+1-\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} a_i}\right)\right] = [\lambda, 0]$$

In other words, if $[a]$ is the corresponding orbit to λ in $\mathbb{Z}_N^k$, then $[a, 0]$ is the corresponding orbit in $\mathbb{Z}_N^{k+1}$ of λ seen as a weight of level $k+1$.

The following theorem was proved in [2]

Teorema 1.5. *Let $\lambda = m\lambda_1$ be a multiple of the first fundamental weight for A_{N-1}, $m \leq k$, $\mu = a_1\lambda_1 + \ldots + a_{N-1}\lambda_{N-1}$ any other weight of level k and $[\lambda]$ and $[\mu]$ their corresponding orbits in $\mathbb{Z}_N^k$. Then $N_{\mu,\lambda}^{(k)\nu} = M_{[\mu],[\lambda]}^{(k)[\nu]}$ for any weight ν of level k.*

So we get the following special case of the Level Increasing Conjecture

Corolario 1.6. ***(Special case of the Level Increasing Conjecture)*** *Let λ, μ and ν weights for A_{N-1} of level k with $\lambda = m\lambda_1$ a multiple of the first fundamental weight, then we get*

$$N_{\mu,\lambda}^{(k)\nu} \leq N_{\mu,\lambda}^{(k+1)\nu}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 1.5 we get $N_{\mu,\lambda}^{(k)\nu} = M_{[\mu],[\lambda]}^{(k)[\nu]}$ and from Theorem 1.4 we get that $M_{[\mu],[\lambda]}^{(k)[\nu]} \leq M_{[\mu,0],[\lambda,0]}^{(k+1)[\nu,0]}$ and since $M_{[\mu,0],[\lambda,0]}^{(k+1)[\nu,0]} = N_{\mu,\lambda}^{(k+1)\nu}$ we get

$$N_{\mu,\lambda}^{(k)\nu} \leq N_{\mu,\lambda}^{(k+1)\nu}.$$

□

Bibliography

[1] A. Feingold and M. Weiner, *Type A Fusion rules from elementary group theory*, Recent Developments in Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras and Conformal Field Theory, Proceedings of an International Conference on Infinite-Dimensional Lie Theory and Conformal Field Theory, May 23-27, 2000, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, S. Berman, P. Fendley, Y.-Z. Huang, K. Misra, B. Parshall, Editors, Comtemporary Mathematics **297**, American Mathematical Society, Providence,RI, 2002, 97–115.

[2] Saldarriaga O., *Fusion Algebras, symmetric polynomials, and S_k-orbits of $\mathbb{Z}_N^k$*, Journal of Algebra, Vol 312 (1) 2007.